President’s Message

My Nana, whom I adored, had a saying. When something would upset me, she would always say “this too shall pass.” No matter if it was my first heartbreak, my dog dying, or just a bad hair day (in the 80’s there were a lot of those!), she would always say “this too shall pass.” This woman earned that saying. She remembers the day her family got attacked in Russia and her brother was killed in front of her. She divorced her first husband when divorce was a dirty word. She buried two more husbands, including my grandfather, who was the love of her life. All this to explain, she really knew that all things pass.

Right now, things suck. There is no other way to put it. Everyone feels it. There is strife and trouble everywhere we turn. We are all affected by the economic downturn and all of our institutions have felt the consequences of the larger problems.

Nana has been gone for a couple of years now, but I still hear her. Every time I hear something that makes me mad, sad or just want to throw in the towel. Whether it is regarding furloughs or how hard some of my colleague’s institutions are being hit or how unhappy people are, in the back of my head I hear her, clear as day, telling me “this too shall pass.”

I am not trying to be trite; the sentiment simply helps me to put things into perspective. Also helping me are my CARL friends and colleagues. I have reached out to and been reached out to by CARL colleagues to share information to ask questions and, sometimes, just to vent (you know who you are!). My point is, for me, right now, CARL is doing more than providing professional development opportunities.

Unfortunately, CARL has been hit by the current economic crisis as well. Try as we might to keep costs to a minimum for everything by holding board meetings electronically and keeping costs low for conferences, we cannot escape the fact that we are operating at a deficit and have been for a long time. Please see the section in the newsletter on the budgetary issues in CARL and detailed information on the dues increase.

CARL elections will be held later this fall, and on the ballot you will find a call to vote to increase CARL dues. This is not a decision that was made capriciously or without a lot of thought by the Executive Board. Our biggest fear is that CARL members will feel we are adding insult to injury by raising dues at this time. We probably should have increased dues a long time ago, and now we are at a crisis point where we have to ask more of our members in a time where we would rather not; but it is up to the CARL membership to vote it into action.

As always, the Executive Board and I value each and every CARL member and hope that everyone is weathering the storm well. Remember, this too shall pass.

Tracey Mayfield
CARL President
tmayfiel@csulb.edu
**For all LIS Students**

Apply for the Ilene F. Rockman CARL/ACRL Conference Scholarship

**What:** Two $500 scholarships to attend the 13th Biennial CARL Conference, People Make Libraries: Digging into Our Past and Polishing Our Present to Transform Our Future; April 8-10, Sacramento, CA.

**Who:** A Library and Information Studies student, maybe you?

**When:** Applications due December 1, 2009

**Where:** For more information and to apply see the Awards section of the CARL website 
http://www.carl-acrl.org

---

**Save the Date SEAL South Winter Program December 4**

The SEAL-South Winter Program will be Friday, December 4, 2009, at the California State University - Northridge (CSU-N).

The title of the program is “Getting to the Root of STEM Education”, a program with a librarian focus for support of science educators. We will be having several speakers discuss science education, teaching science literacy, and the role of librarians in ongoing education of students and adult learners.

We are also going to devote time to discussion of policies affecting K-12 and secondary education, collection development to support new curriculums, and answer questions from the audience.

There will be much more information as the date of the program comes closer. For more details contact either Jeanine Scaramozzino (jscaramo@calpoly.edu) or Mitchell Brown (mcbrown@uci.edu).

---

**Mitchell Brown**
**SEAL-South President, 2008-09**
mcbrown@uci.edu
Calling all photographers!

Share your photos of CARL events on Flickr and Facebook!

Flickr:  http://www.flickr.com/groups/carl-acrl/

Julie Shen
CARL Website Coordinator
jshen@csupomona.edu

---

2010 CARL Conference (April 8-10, Sacramento)

People Make Libraries: Digging into Our Past and Polishing Our Present to Transform Our Future

Everyday there are news stories on libraries. The stories are usually focused on collections, buildings, and emerging technologies, and rarely on the people that make libraries. Libraries have amazing collections because library people select, process, and promote all sorts of materials with an eye to the future. Libraries have award winning buildings because library people help design and renovate them to meet both known and anticipated user needs. Libraries are at the forefront of technology because library people are risk takers and see how technology can transform processes and projects. This conference will highlight people’s research and practice that is transforming our future.

Research and Practice Areas Might Include:
  - Transforming Ideas, Decisions, and Calculated Risks
  - Evidence Based Efforts and Projects
  - Reorganizations and Innovative Workflows
  - Characteristics and Competencies
  - Hiring, Management, and Evaluation
  - Training and Leadership Development
  - Mentor and Internships Programs for Employees and Future Employees
  - Needs Assessment and Library-User (Students, Researchers, Faculty, Campus Community) Partnerships
  - Volunteers, Friends Organizations, and Other Community Partnerships
DAY 1 PLENARY SPEAKER:  Dr. Peter Hernon

Peter Hernon is Professor, Graduate School of Library and Information Science, Simmons College, Boston, where he teaches courses on research methods, evaluation of library services, academic librarianship, leadership, and government information. Dr. Hernon received his Ph.D. degree from Indiana University, Bloomington, and has taught at Simmons College, the University of Arizona, and Victoria University of Wellington (New Zealand). Besides his various activities in New Zealand, he has delivered keynote address in eight other countries: Canada, England, France, Finland, Greece, Portugal, Spain, and South Africa.

He is the co-editor of Library & Information Science Research, founding editor of Government Information Quarterly, and past editor-in-chief of the Journal of Academic Librarianship. Professor Hernon is the author of more than 290 publications, more than 40 of which are books. He has received a number of awards for his research and professional contributions, including being the 2008 recipient of the Association of College and Research Libraries (ACRL) award for Academic/Research Librarian of the Year. The first edition of this book, Assessing Service Quality, was the 1998 winner of the Highsmith award for outstanding contribution to the literature of library and information science in 1999.

Dr. Hernon’s presentation will examine leadership and its importance to libraries as they cope with the challenges of the present and the future.

DAY 2 PLENARY SPEAKER: Melanie Hawks

Melanie Hawks is the Learning and Development Coordinator at the J. Willard Marriott Library, University of Utah. She has ACRL publications on work-life balance and influencing without authority.

YOUR PART: You can be part of it all by submitting a proposal. A call for proposals will go out soon for four types of sessions:

- **Engage Sessions**
  These sessions will be 3.5 hour workshop sessions that engage participants on practical topics related to the theme.

- **Listen & Learn Sessions**
  These sessions will be 1 hour presentation sessions with a 15 minute question and answer period. The focus will be on research related to the conference theme.

- **Discussion Sessions**
  These sessions will be 1 hour and 15 minute discussion sessions. The focus will be on a topic related to the conference theme, and the sessions must be structured, include engaging questions and activities, and have a practical focus.

- **Share Sessions**
  This session will be posters on a topic related to the conference theme.

For more information, check the Conference website:
http://carl-acrl.org/Archives/ConferencesArchive/Conference10/
Google Book Settlement: The Ripples Widen

A mini-conference titled “The Google Books Settlement and the Future of Information Access” (http://www.ischool.berkeley.edu/newsandevents/events/20090828Googlebooksconference/schedule) was held at UC Berkeley, sponsored by the “I School” on Friday, August 28, 2009. The presenters were from a wide range of stakeholders in the upcoming Google settlement, and posed provocative questions to each other, and the attendees, a mixture of librarians, lawyers, students, public policy people, and faculty researchers.

It would be fairly difficult to be a librarian and not have some awareness of the settlement, but the scenario might be quickly summarized thusly:

Google for several years has embarked on a wildly ambitious (the word “audacious” is also a frequent descriptor) project by which they have digitally scanned the contents of a wide range and depth of libraries. (Partners include the Universities of Michigan and California, Harvard and Oxford, among others.) By digitally aggregating this extraordinary corpus of written knowledge, stored in the form of our old friends, the books of the world, Google would then have an unprecedented electronic collection, which could be saved and mined and rendered by virtue of OCR into a searchable database of incalculable value. However, several predictable issues have raised their ugly heads, among them copyright, ownership of and access to the collection, user privacy and charges of monopolistic intent. A lawsuit was brought against Google by the Author’s Guild, alleging to represent the publishers and authors who have a stake in the ownership of their created works, and the judge in the case will shortly be rendering a decision that will affect the outcome (see http://wo.ala.org/gbs/). The conference sought to bring together a variety of experts to sort out some of the areas of dispute.

The first panel focused on the topic of “Data Mining and Non-Consumptive Use, and included Peter Brantley, Director of Access of the Internet Archive (http://archive.org) and Jim Pitman, Professor of Statistics at UC Berkeley.

Moderator Eric Kansa began by rhetorically noting what Greg Cane said in the title of his article in the March 2006 issue of D-Lib Magazine, “What do you do with a million books?” The sheer size of this project is staggering, said Kansa, also noting some similarities with the Human Genome Project, which also involved commercial interests. He raised questions about the fact that only machine processing was considered when conducting research on the collection, and wondered who would be the real beneficiaries of the project. Google would gain an enormous opportunity to continue their own research efforts.

Peter Brantley spoke about the changing nature of the book, and that Google’s efforts are one part of this much broader shift. He took Google to task over their potential control of this vast resource and urged people not to accept the first offer (the “first cookie”) when better settlement offers might be further, perhaps only slightly further, down the road. He viewed this stance as part of the responsibility of scholars.

Jim Pitman asked important questions about the access to the dataset that researchers would have, and expressed worries about whether independent researchers would have opportunities to develop new search algorithms and refine searching techniques. Google’s representative Dan Clancy responded during the question and answer period that the settlement allowed for the dataset to reside at two university institutions, to be named later, who would act as gatekeepers of access. He stressed that the main aim was that “qualified” researchers (not just anyone who wanted a swing at the dataset, and explicitly not anyone with commercial interests in exploiting the dataset) be able to use and mine the collection. As part of the price of the project, Google sought to retain the right to gain commercially from the development of their own searching systems.
The second panel addressed privacy issues in the settlement, and included Tom Leonard, UC Berkeley’s University Librarian, Angela Maycock from the ALA’s Office for Intellectual Freedom [http://www.ala.org/ala/aboutala/offices/oif/], Jason Schultz from the Samuelson Law, Technology & Public Policy Clinic [http://www.law.berkeley.edu/] at UC Berkeley’s law school, and Michael Zimmer from the University of Wisconsin. Leonard spoke about the traditional values of privacy in library settings, a stance echoed by Maycock from ALA. Maycock had serious reservations about Google’s ability to preserve individuals’ privacies with respect to access to the collection, and cited several examples of where libraries were able to protect first amendment issues of their patrons even with court challenges. Would Google take the same care? Individuals needed to be able to engage in intellectual inquiry without the highly deleterious effects of “over-the-shoulder” scrutiny. As currently formulated, the settlement is silent on privacy, and Maycock thought that stronger language was required to make the settlement work properly.

Schultz spoke to the large copyright issues in the settlement, highlighting the “opt-out” provisions, where authors or publishers could separate themselves from the agreement. Google would need authors’ permission to do certain things with their dataset, and Schultz wondered whether privacy should be explicitly part of the settlement. Especially, he questioned how anyone would ever know when privacy had been violated using the Google collection and stressed the need for accountability. He saw the agreement as a “legal hack” and not necessarily a bad one, but that it was A Very Big Hack.

Zimmer pondered the nature of the use of the collection: would the norms of library use prevail, or would it more likely be closer to the norms of web searching? He saw the rise of “dataveillance” — the ability to track and study search patterns — as particularly dangerous, and while wanting to trust Google’s intentions, did not feel that the agreement went far enough in this regard. He also stressed, in a way that later speakers would second, the drawbacks of Google’s monopoly on the collection. With competition in the open waters of web searching, there are reasons for the various players (Google just one of them) to provide the kinds of privacy protections that users want. Where would that incentive be in the current settlement arrangement? He spoke to the “hard thinking” that Google engineers brought to privacy when using one subset of Google’s search engine, Google Health, and thought that the same sort of concern should be written into the book settlement.

The third session was addressed quality in the Settlement and was moderated by UCB I School professor Paul Duguid, and included Dan Clancy, Google’s Engineering Director for their Book Search program; UC’s Dan Greenstein, Vice Provost for Academic Planning, Programs and Coordination, [http://www.ucop.edu/appc/]; Mark Liberman, a UPenn Professor; and Clifford Lynch, Director of CNI [http://www.cni.org/].
Nuneberg, who subsequent to the conference had an article published by the *Chronicle of Higher Education* on the same topic, picked at the poor quality of Google’s current book search program that pile on multiple levels of bibliographic errors. He expected Clancy to claim that Google was just getting the data from others (notably OCLC itself) and while it would work on correcting metadata records, could not be blamed for everything. In many cases he thought that Google was using the same sort of tagging or indexing that a store such as Barnes & Noble employed, rather than academic libraries and LC. He got everyone riled up by describing Google’s ultimate dataset as “the last library.”

Lynch took his traditional role of adroitly summarizing and analyzing the large and vital questions presented. He viewed the whole scenario as “much bigger than just a legal settlement,” as there were huge implications that rippled out from the center of the dispute. Instead this belonged in the arena of public policy, and Lynch saw the settlement as a failure of public investment in the arena of public research resources. The scanning and storing were all things that universities and libraries could have done themselves all along, but were hamstrung by lack of vision and capital.

This notion was amplified by Greenstein, who saw access as a public trust. Liberman felt that the “research corpus” as outlined by the agreement was too restrictive. Why couldn’t all the non-copyright protected material just be open to all?

Clancy, Google’s spokesperson, stayed clear throughout. Google needed to benefit from the project. No, it was not comparable to the human genome project, since there is no competition here, only cooperation. He stressed Google’s accommodation to criticism and the issues raised, responding to input from affected constituents. He did not agree with the assessment that this would be “the last library” but when pressed, agreed that no one else was ever likely to be undertaking a project like this one on this scale.

The final session was moderated by Pamela Samuelsen which dealt with public access and included Clancy from Google again, Carla Hesse, the UCB Dean of Social Sciences, James Love from Knowledge Ecology International (http://www.keionline.org), and UCB law professor Molly Van Houweling.

Hesse mentioned that this might be one project that “was too big to fail” and that this posed some difficulties of scale, among other things. Love addressed how the pricing scheme for usage of the books would be handled, how authors and publishers would deal. Van Houweling continued the discussion into the university library world, and what it would mean to participate in the (very tempting) Google collection.
One of the issues that emerged in question and answer sessions is the “orphan works’’ dilemma, which someone reformulated as not about rights to “abandoned” works, but rather about progeny whose parents had been apart, hadn’t been talking, and hadn’t even realized their progeny had enough value to do anything about. The settlement might actually improve this situation, although pricing structures, like in other internet media circles, remain problematic.

I was impressed that despite very strong feelings all the way around, everyone (or at least most of the audience, save some disgruntled lawyers for local authors and one very peeved academic rival to one of the I School panelists) managed to handle debate with clearly articulated logic. The dominant feeling was that the settlement was not yet good enough. People wanted to trust Google, who was saying all the right things, but not explicitly and thoroughly enough in the agreement. A surprising percentage of panelists appeared to think that the agreement was adequate, even if it passed unimproved. Maycock, the ALA representative, to my surprise, was in this camp. Clancy was charming and rational, perhaps too much so for comfort, but he clearly had put a lot of effort into listening to complaints from the various constituents and had obviously engaged in previous deep conversation with some of the most forthright critics of the settlement, Samuelson among them.

It is a bit strange to hear anyone, even more the likes of Geoffrey Nunberg, refer to the Google dataset (the “god database?”) as a library, since besides the fact that it is concerned with the preservation and search for knowledge, has scarcely any other parallel elements. (What is the tangible form of storage? What collection development criteria were adopted? Is there any oversight to “classification?” What of the librarian’s mission to actively connect users with interesting and valuable documents?) The centuries long adaptation to the printing press, with all its evolving tools (alphabetic organization; standardized pre-coordinate classification schemes; the professionalization of librarianship; rise of the modern research library) all have made the contemporary academic library the entity that it has become, with emphasis on access and quality. I am not one to belittle the searching excellence that Google has developed, and see potential advantages to their project, if careful (and better than currently formulated) protections and an appropriate ethical stance is maintained, but this divine dataset is not a library and should not be called so.

ACRL has also weighed in with a vote regarding pricing structures, for the libraries that will ultimately take advantage of subscribing to the Google book collection. They echo most of the rest of the complainants by asking for rigorous regulation of Google and good oversight to the settlement. The hearing, originally scheduled for the 7th of October, has been adjourned and parties will meet to see if a revised agreement, acceptable to all parties, can be made. How the settlement shakes out will affect all of us – writers, readers, researchers, librarians, intellectual citizens – in multiple ways.
People News

**Shahla Bahavar** (USC) presented at the CARL Diversity in Academic Libraries-South 2009 Annual Program “The Importance of First: Understanding the Needs and Experiences of First-Generation College Students.” Her presentation entitled “First-Generation Scholars: USC’s Neighborhood Academic Initiative Program” focused on USC’s innovative program and outreach to neighborhood schools. It elaborated on the USC Libraries collaboration with the NAI Program in this endeavor to prepare low-socioeconomic and underprivileged minority scholars from the public schools in the community to a college education.

**Carl Bengston** was appointed and began serving last February as Dean of the Library and Learning Resource Center at Cerritos College in Norwalk. Before assuming his current position at Cerritos College, Carl was Dean of Library Services at CSU Stanislaus.

**Allison Carr**, Library Faculty for the Social Sciences, and Pearl Ly, Library Faculty for the Natural Sciences, both at **CSU San Marcos**, presented “do u IM?: Using Evidence to Inform Decisions about Instant Messaging in Library Reference Services” at the 5th International Evidence Based Library & Information Practice Conference: Bridging the Gap in Stockholm, Sweden on June 30, 2009.

**CSU Long Beach** welcomes **Khue Duong** as its new Science Librarian. Khue did his undergraduate work at UCLA where he obtained degrees in both chemistry and English. He earned his masters degree in Library and Information Science from the University of Washington.

**Karin Duran** (CSU Northridge) received certificates of recognition from Felipe Fuentes, California Assembly 39th District, and Richard Alarcon, Los Angeles City Council in appreciation of her ongoing community service. She will also be included in the 2009 Who’s Who in the World.

**Ann Manning Fiegen**, Business Librarian at **CSU San Marcos**, has been selected as one of two recipients of the BRASS 2009 Emerald Research Award for her research: “A systematic literature review of research methods and best practices employed by business librarians in the practice of business instruction in academic libraries.”

The second edition of *Information Literacy Instruction: Theory and Practice*, written by **Esther Grassian** (UCLA) and Joan Kaplowitz, has just been published by Neal-Schuman Publishers, Inc.

In July, **Lindsay Hansen** (CSU Northridge) presented on “Super Project Gone Wrong: Weisses Gold and the Struggle for Intellectual Property in the GDR” at the Sixth Bi-annual International Conference on Music Since 1900, held at Keele University, Staffordshire, UK.

**Helen Heinrich**, **Doris Helfer** and **Mary Woodley** (CSU Northridge) had their article, “Doing More with Less in Technical Services” published in *Searcher: The Magazine for the Database Professional*, July 2009 17(7): 7-9, 46. They will also be giving a presentation on the article at Internet Librarian in October. Doris and Helen have also written an article entitled “Library Technical Services” that will appear in the *Encyclopedia of Library and Information Sciences* (3rd ed.) which is to be published in December 2009 by CRC Press. In addition, Doris has been invited to be on the Advisory Board for *Information Outlook* which is a publication of the Special Libraries Association. In addition, she was an invited speaker at the SLA 100th Annual Conference in June. Doris spoke on “Overcoming Library Disasters: Ensuring They Don’t Become Catastrophes.” Doris also was honored with the Distinguished Teaching, Counseling or Librarianship Award at CSU Northridge for the 2008-2009 academic year.

**Lynn Lampert** and **Doris Helfer** (CSU Northridge) will be speaking on “Enhancing Student Learning through Your Relationship with the Oviatt Library” at CSU Northridge’s Department Chairs retreat.

Les Kong (CSU San Bernardino) has been reappointed for another year to the Substantive Change Committee of WASC (the Western Association of Senior Colleges). During April, he served as Assistant Team Chair on the WASC visiting team to CSU Los Angeles (reaccreditation visit), and also visited the Federal Way Extension program (Washington State) of Life Pacific College, as part of the 6-month mandatory Dept. of Education requirements. He was also elected in July to a two-year term to the Budget and Planning Assembly of the ALA Council.

Robert V. Labaree (USC) was a speaker at the ACRL Educational and Behavioral Science Section annual conference program in Chicago. His presentation, “Qualitative Research Design for the Librarian/Scholar,” outlined how to effectively design a study using qualitative research methods.

Valeria Molteni (San José State University) Biological Sciences, Nursing and Nutrition & Food Science Librarian, has been chosen as a participant for the 2009 ACRL Information Literacy Immersion Intentional Teacher Track.

Jeff Paul (San José State University) Librarian and Coordinator of the IMLS funded Librarians for Tomorrow: Preparing a New Generation of Librarians to Serve our Communities Program, has been selected by the Organizing Committee of the 23rd Guadalajara International Book Fair (FIL), and the American Library Association as a FIL 2009 special guest librarian. FIL will take place in Guadalajara, Mexico from November 28 - December 2, 2009.

Christina Peterson, Information Literacy Coordinator and Distance Learning Librarian at San José State University, has been chosen as a participant for the 2009 ACRL Information Literacy Immersion Program for the Assessment Track.

Danielle Skaggs (CSU Northridge) presented on “Improving Students E-Learning: Multicampus Collaborations for Digital Learning Objects” as a panelist at the 2009 MERLOT (Multimedia Education Resource for Learning & Online Teaching) International Conference held in August at San José State University.

USC Libraries Dean Catherine Quinlan announced that USC Provost Nikias appointed Eduardo Tinoco as Business Librarian at the rank of Associate University Librarian (Librarian III, the USC Libraries equivalent of tenure) with continuing appointment, effective July 1, 2009. Prior to coming to USC in 2004, Ed worked at Lehman Brothers, Inc., as the Los Angeles office Research Librarian supporting the financial institutions, merger and acquisitions, industrials, and private placements teams focusing on researching companies, industries, markets, and executives. Before his four-years at Lehman Brothers, Ed spent over five years working at the Motion Picture Association of America in the Classification and Rating Department, ending his tenure in 2000 as the Internet Investigations Database Coordinator in the Worldwide Anti-Piracy Office of the MPAA/MPA. A former member of the US Army Rangers, Ed brings over 15 years of experience in the academic, corporate and entertainment industry sectors to his position in the Roy P. Crocker Library, serving the USC Marshall School of Business, USC Libraries and the USC Community.

Diana Wu (San José State University) Business Librarian, presented at a seminar co-sponsored by the Chinese American Librarians Association and the Library Association in Taiwan in August 2009. The theme of the
seminar was “In Search of Excellence.” Diana presented on “New Technology and New Services” and “User Services Exploration.”

Sue Tyson (USC) has been promoted to rank of Librarian II.

---

**Places News**

*California State University, Long Beach*
CSU Long Beach University Library has introduced Innovative Interfaces’ Encore discovery enhancements for its online catalog and soon will be providing periodical articles as a member of the ArticleReach consortium.

*Occidental College*
Occidental College has received a grant from the National Endowment of the Arts to launch The Big Read celebrating Oxy alum and ‘poet of the American West Coast,’ Robinson Jeffers, class of 1905. Occidental’s Big Read program, “Robinson Jeffers and the Ecologies of Poetry,” will be presented this October and November in partnership with some 20 local schools and community organizations. Partners include local public libraries, historical societies, Friends of the L.A. River, the Audubon Society, the Southwest Museum, local arts and poetry collectives, and four high schools and an elementary school in the neighborhood. The NEA presents The Big Read in partnership with the Institute of Museum and Library Services and in cooperation with Arts Midwest. Support for The Big Read is provided by the W.K. Kellogg Foundation. Transportation for The Big Read is provided by Ford.

*Saint Mary’s College*
The Saint Mary’s College Library has begun adding a browsing library of periodicals for the different subject areas taught at the campus. The pages offer linkable journal covers that then go to an RSS feed of the latest issue, which the user can then click through to for the article itself. For examples, please go to: Politics (http://library.stmarys-ca.edu/subjects/politics/periodicals.html) or Special Education (http://library.stmarys-ca.edu/subjects/education/special/reading.html). Please note that the links work only for SMC faculty, staff, and students.

*University of Southern California*
The Architecture and Fine Arts Library at the University of Southern California is in the process of assembling primary archives on public art works on the Metro Gold line, which extends from Union Station in downtown Los Angeles to Sierra Madre Station in Pasadena. A portion of the archives is online, http://libguides.usc.edu/gold_line; the rest of the archival collection will join the growing public art-related archives held by the USC Libraries.
**Account Balances as of 9/9/2009 & 2008**

Operating Expenses of the Administration

**Account Balances as of 9/9/2009**

- Savings: $22,488.16
- Checking: $1,825.02

**2008 Operating Income & Expenses**

These are the operating expenses of CARL. I have only included the ‘normal income’. Membership dues and any interest from the bank are what I have reported as ‘normal income’. Last year saw some income greater than expenses for the members programs, but I am not sure that should be included here. Also, there was a profit last year from the conference. But in general, these are the sources of income and expenses for the normal operating year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ACRL Chapter Reimbursements</strong></td>
<td>1,053.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Banking</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interest</strong></td>
<td>296.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Banking</strong></td>
<td>$ 296.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Membership Income</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4210 Dues direct payment</strong></td>
<td>805.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4220 RegOnline payment</strong></td>
<td>4,875.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Membership Income</strong></td>
<td>$ 5,680.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Income</strong></td>
<td>$ 5,976.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| RegOnline Fees                               | 772.75   |
| Executive Board                              |          |
| **ACRL Chapters Council Meeting**            | 391.12   |
| **Gifts**                                    | 104.21   |
| **Office supplies**                          | 94.64    |
| **Postage, Photocopy**                       | 29.50    |
| **Refreshments**                             | 1,248.07 |
| **Software & Manuals**                       | 694.30   |
| **Stipends**                                 | 3,000.00 |
| **Travel Reimbursement**                     | 2,013.49 |
| **Total Executive Board**                    | $ 7,575.33 |

| Retainers                                    |          |
| **Accounting**                               | 1,862.00 |
| **Insurance**                                | 1,923.00 |
| **Total Retainers**                          | $ 3,785.00 |

| Total Expenses                               | $ 12,133.08 |
| Total Income                                 | $ 5,976.01  |
|                                              | $  6,157.07  |
There are four additional costs associated with yearly operation that we need to also be mindful of, and they are listed below:

Additional costs:
1. Our chapter representative to ACRL. At this time some of the cost is being covered by other institutions or organizations. If we were to cover the entire cost it would be about $1,500. Notice last year it cost less than $400.00.
2. Research Grant – This is not listed as an Administrative cost for 2008. This year it is $1,600.00
3. Scholarship – this is $1,000.00, and not listed as an Administrative cost for 2008.
4. Outstanding Member Award - $300.00, not listed as an Administrative cost for 2008.

So if you add up the items in 1 thru 4 you get an additional $4,400.00.

In total, if 2008 is a representative year, then we need to raise our ‘normal income’ by close to $10,000.00.

The year 2008 saw a profit from the conference of $1,600.00 and a profit from members programs of $1,900.00.

Pam Howard  
CARL Treasurer

---

**CARL Elections**

It’s that time of year again for elections. Please consider nominating yourself or someone else for an office. An official announcement will be coming out over CARLALL soon. The positions up for re-election are

- Vice-President, South;
- Director at Large, Private;
- Director at Large, Community College;
- Secretary, and
- ACRL Chapter’s Council Delegate.

Descriptions of all of these positions are on the CARL web site (http://www.carl-acrl.org/documents/carl-standing-rules.pdf).
CARL Interest Groups

IG = Interest Group

ABLE = Academic Business Librarians Exchange
CALM = California Academic Librarians in Management
CARLDIG = California Academic Reference Librarians Discussion Interest Group
CARLIT = California Academic & Research Libraries Information Technology
CCLI = Community College Interest Group
CDIG = Collection Development Interest Group
CSUL = California State University Librarians
DIAL = Diversity in Academic Libraries
SEAL = Science and Engineering Academic Librarians
SCIL = Southern California Instruction Librarians
TSIG = Technical Services Interest Group

Adding N or S indicates the North or South region, as in SEAL-S.

For more information on Interest Groups, contact Dominique Turnbow, IG Coordinator in the South, or Wendy Diamond, IG Coordinator in the North.

2009 Board of Directors

PRESIDENT
Tracey Mayfield
CSU Long Beach
(562) 985-8877
tmayfiel@csulb.edu

NORTHERN Vice President
Ned Fielden
San Francisco State University
(415) 405-0527
fielden@sfsu.edu

SOUTHERN Vice President
Amy Wallace
CSU Channel Islands
(805) 437-8911
**amy.wallace@csuci.edu

SECRETARY
Melissa Browne
UC Davis
(530) 754-5962
mabrowne@ucdavis.edu

TREASURER
Pam Howard
San Francisco State University
(415) 338-7395
pjhoward@sfsu.edu

MEMBERSHIP DIRECTOR
Kelly Janousek
CSU Long Beach
(562) 985-7815
janousek@csulb.edu

ACRL CHAPTERS
COUNCIL DELEGATE
Les Kong
CSU San Bernardino
(909) 880-5111
lkong@csusb.edu

NORTHERN INTEREST GROUP COORDINATOR,
Wendy Diamond
CSU Chico
(530) 898-6139
wdiamond@csuchico.edu

NORTHERN CAMPUS LIAISON COORDINATOR
& Community Colleges
Maryanne Mills
West Valley Comm. College
(408) 741-4661
maryanne_mills@westvalley.edu

SOUTHERN INTEREST GROUP COORDINATOR
& UC Director-at-Large
Dominique Turnbow
UC San Diego
(858) 534-1195
dturnbow@ucsd.edu

SOUTHERN CAMPUS LIAISON COORDINATOR
& Private Institutions
Eduardo Tinoco
University of Southern California
(213) 740-9167
etinoco@usc.edu

NEWSLETTER EDITOR
Liz Ginno
CSU East Bay
(510) 885-2969
**liz.ginno@csueastbay.edu

WEB SITE COORDINATOR
Julie Shen
Cal Poly Pomona
(909) 869-4330
jshen@csupomona.edu

ARCHIVIST
1994 - Present
Lynne Reasoner
UC Riverside
(951) 827-5355
reasoner@ucr.edu

PAST PRESIDENT
Vicki Rosen
University of San Francisco
(415) 422-5387
rosen@usfca.edu

CSU DIRECTOR-AT-LARGE
Karin Griffin
CSU Long Beach
(562) 985-1542
kgriffin@csulb.edu

SOUTHERN CAMPUS LIAISON COORDINATOR
Dominique Turnbow
UC San Diego
(858) 534-1195
dturnbow@ucsd.edu

ASCENDING ATTORNEY
2003 - Present
Don Page
Cal Poly Pomona
(909) 869-3170
djpage@csupomona.edu

** Check email address - this program keeps cutting off the first part of the email name!
The CARL Newsletter (ISSN: 1090-9982) is the official publication of the California Academic & Research Libraries organization and is published online quarterly. The CARL Newsletter editorial office address is CARL, 3020 El Cerrito Plaza PMB 239, El Cerrito, CA 94530.

Deadlines for submissions: February 15, May 15, August 15, and November 15. “People Making News” and “Places Making News” should be sent to the appropriate Campus Liaison Coordinator. Other submissions are sent to the Editor: Liz Ginno, (liz.ginno@csueastbay.edu), University Library, CSU East Bay, Hayward, CA 94542-3052.

The editor would like to thank Paul MacLennan, Library Assistant at CSU East Bay, and incoming CARL Newsletter editor, Mira Foster, San Francisco State University, for their invaluable editing assistance.